

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 03/22/2004 5530 7790 10/804,774 Denise Loporcaro **EXAMINER** 7590 11/18/2004 FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR Charles I. Brodsky, Esq. 2 Bucks Lane PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Marlboro, NJ 07746 3724

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		< ↑	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/804,774	LOPORCARO, DENISE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eniod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHESTATUTE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica '4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		٠
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/804,774

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6-10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petroff (4825544) in view of Adachi (6651345).

Petroff discloses (Fig. 1-20) the invention substantially as claimed including a pair of scissors 1, a releasably openable closed case housing (39 and 40), the carry case is closed at one end (see Fig. 20, the closed bottom of the cover 40), a flip-open cover 40 (see Fig. 20, the open top of the cover), a pair of first/curved wall surfaces (see Fig. 13, the bottom wall of part 39), a pair of second/straight wall surfaces (see Fig. 13, the side walls of cover 40), an end extension 32 (see Fig. 5) and a hinge (see, Fig.3). Petroff does not show teeth serrations. However, Adachi teaches the use of teeth serrations (Fig. 1-33) for the purpose of increasing the cutting performance by having a non-slip state. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Petroff's scissors by providing the teeth serrations as taught by Adachi in order to increase the cutting performance by having a non-slip state.

Art Unit: 3724

3. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petroff (4825544) in view of Adachi (6651345) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Park (5857268).

The modified device of Petroff discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a length not in excess of six inches and a width not in excess of four inches. However, Park teaches the use of a pocket tool with a length not in excess of six inches and a width not in excess of four inches for the purpose of easy carrying the tool in the pocket. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Petroff's housing by providing the length not in excess of six inches and the width not in excess of four inches as taught by Park in order to carry the tool in the pocket.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petroff (4825544) in view of Adachi (6651345) as applied to claims 1, 6-9 and 10 above, and further in view of Linden (4714159).

The modified device of Petroff discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a plastic composition. However, Linden teaches the use of a plastic composition (see col.2, line 28) for the purpose of reducing manufacturing cost. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Petroff's housing by providing the plastic composition as taught by Linden in order to reduce manufacturing cost.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petroff (4825544) in view of Adachi (6651345) as applied to claims 1, 6-9 and 10 above, and further in view of Levsen (6082559).

The modified device of Petroff discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a stainless steel composition. However, Levsen teaches the use of a stainless steel composition (see col. 3, line 22) for the purpose of having a stiff case. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Petroff's housing by providing the stainless steel composition as taught by Levsen in order to obtain a stiff cover.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gunson, Fethke et al., Gross and Elsener et al. are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,774

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

November 13, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5